

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/821,338 | 04/09/2004 | Christa Harris | THR-6216 | 1230 | |
| 7590 11/23/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ALLEGIANCE CORPORATION | | | ROANE, AARON F | | |
| ATTN: Kim Li | una, KB-1A | | | | |
| 1430 Waukegan Rd | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| McGaw Park, IL 60085-6787 | | 3739 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/821,338 | HARRIS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Aaron Roane | 3739 | | |

| | Aaron Roane | 3739 | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | • | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENIANE. | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS 7. The proposed amondment/s) filed after a final rejection. | but prior to the date of filing a brid | f will not be entered | haarisa |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | 21. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | rill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| Man Boane 11/17/20 | t es c | MICHAEL PEFFLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER | fry |
| 1/ V 2000 Word 111/1/20 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | \ . |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation in line 2 of claim 1 and line 2 of claim 6 "defining a single interior compartment" and "a single" are both new issues and would require both further consideration and a new search.